Comment on "Unconditionally secure commitment in position-based quantum cryptography"

Guang Ping He
School of Physics and Engineering,
Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China
Electronic address: hegp@mail.sysu.edu.cn

We show that a recently proposed relativistic commitment scheme [Sci. Rep. 4, 6774 (2014). arXiv:1406.6679] is insecure against the cheating of the committer.

arXiv:1411.0013v1 [quant-ph] 31 Oct 2014

Recently, a relativistic commitment scheme is proposed [1] and claimed to be unconditionally secure. The scheme is carried on among three parties, the committer Alice (A), the receiver Bob (B) and his agent C. The coding method of the scheme is as follows. Denote Bell states as (Eq. (1) of [1])

$$|u_i u_j\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle|u_j\rangle + (-1)^{u_i}|1\rangle|1\oplus u_j\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}. \qquad (1)$$

To make a commitment, A secretly prepares N Bell pairs $|\beta_{ac}\rangle = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{N}|u_a u_c\rangle_n$ and sends the second qubit of each pair to C, while keeping the first qubit of each pair to herself. The values of u_a and u_c are chosen according to the value she wants to commit. To commit to the bit 0, A initiates with $|\beta_{ac}\rangle = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{N}|00\rangle_n$; to commit to the bit 1, A initiates with $|\beta_{ac}\rangle = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{N}|01\rangle_n$; to commit to the qubit $(|0\rangle+|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, A prepares $|\beta_{ac}\rangle = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{N}|10\rangle_n$ while for committing $(|0\rangle-|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, A starts with $|\beta_{ac}\rangle = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{N}|11\rangle_n$. Then B and C perform some operations on their half of $|\beta_{ac}\rangle$ and some other qubits at their side. In the revealing phase, A reveals her commitment by announcing the values of $u_a u_c$. Also, to justify that she is honest, she sends to B the other half of $|\beta_{ac}\rangle$ that she kept.

Results

Here we show that a dishonest A can always alter her commitment in the revealing phase by applying local operations on the qubits she kept, while passing the security checks of B and C with probability 100%.

Methods

A's cheating strategy is simple. From Eq. (1) we can see that the Pauli matrix σ_z acting on the first qubit alone can flip the value of the bit u_i, while $(-1)^{u_i}\sigma_x$ acting on the first qubit alone can flip the value of the bit u_j, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_z \otimes I)|u_i u_j\rangle &= |\bar{u}_i u_j\rangle, \\ ((-1)^{u_i}\sigma_x \otimes I)|u_i u_j\rangle &= |u_i \bar{u}_j\rangle. \end{aligned} \qquad (2)$$

Here I is the identity operator on the second qubit. That is, A can change $|u_a u_c\rangle_n$ among the four Bell states freely with a local unitary transformation of her own, without the help of B and C.

Therefore, A can always starts the commitment phase with $|\beta_{ac}\rangle = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{N}|00\rangle_n$. Later, in the revealing phase, if she wants to convince B and C that she has committed to the bit 0, she simply follows the original scheme honestly. But if she wants to show that she has committed to the bit 1 (or the qubits $(|0\rangle+|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ or $(|0\rangle-|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$), she applies σ_x (σ_z or $\sigma_z\sigma_x$) immediately on each of the N qubits she kept, before sending them to B. Note that the local operations on the two qubits of a Bell state, respectively, always commute with each other. Therefore, no matter what operations B and C had performed on their share of the qubits, A's above operation will make $|\beta_{ac}\rangle$ appear as if it was originally prepared as $\bigotimes_{n=1}^{N}|01\rangle_n$ ($\bigotimes_{n=1}^{N}|10\rangle_n$ or $\bigotimes_{n=1}^{N}|11\rangle_n$), without causing any conflict with the measurement results of B and C. Thus her cheating can never be detected.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported in part by the NSF of China, the NSF of Guangdong province, and the Foundation of Zhongshan University Advanced Research Center.

[1] Nadeem, M. Unconditionally secure commitment in position-based quantum cryptography. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 6774 (2014). *arXiv:1406.6679v4.*